Matrices and Vectors

• Vector scalar multiplication and addition operations:

$$2\mathbf{u}_{n\times 1} + 3\mathbf{v}_{n\times 1} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \dots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix} + 3 \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \dots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2u_1 + 3v_1 \\ 2u_2 + 3v_2 \\ \dots \\ 2u_n + 3v_n \end{pmatrix}$$

• The inner (dot or cross) product of two vectors is defined to be

$$\mathbf{u}^t \mathbf{v} = \sum_i u_i v_i = \|\mathbf{u}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{v}\| \cos(\theta),$$

where $\|\mathbf{u}\|$ denotes the <u>norm</u> of a vector

$$\|\mathbf{u}\| = \sqrt{\mathbf{u}^t \mathbf{u}} = \sqrt{\sum_i u_i^2},$$

and θ is the angle between the two vectors.

- A <u>unit vector</u> is a vector whose norm is 1.
- When two vectors are orthogonal, $\cos(\theta) = 0$, therefore $\mathbf{u}^t \mathbf{v} = 0$, denoted by $\mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{v}$.
- The Euclidean distance between two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is $\|\mathbf{u} \mathbf{v}\|$.
- A set of vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_m$ is said to be linear independent, if

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_m\mathbf{v}_m = 0$$
 iff $c_1 = \dots = c_m = 0$

Otherwise they are linear dependent.

In other words, if a set of vectors are linear independent, then **no one** can be expressed as a linear combination of the others; if they are linear dependent, then there **exists at least one** vector, say \mathbf{v}_2 , which can be written as a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_3, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_m$.

- If **A** is a matrix, its transpose is denoted by **A**^T. The trace of a square matrix, denoted by tr(**A**), is the sum of its diagonal elements.
- If **A** is a square matrix, its inverse is another matrix **C** such that $\mathbf{AC} = \mathbf{I}$. We usually denote the inverse matrix by \mathbf{A}^{-1} . Not all square matrices have an inverse. Only *full* rank or non-singular square matrices has an inverse, and the corresponding inverse is unique.
- Suppose we have an $n \times p$ matrix **X** with $n \ge p$.
 - $\mathbf{X}_{n \times p}$ is not full rank \iff its columns are linear dependent.
 - $\mathbf{X}_{n \times p}$ is full rank \iff its columns are linear independent.

If **X** is full rank, then $(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})$ is a $p \times p$ full-rank square matrix, so $(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ exists.

Means and Variances of Random Variables

• Covariance of X and Y

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)] = \mathbb{E}(XY) - \mu_X \mu_Y$$

- Connection with Variance: Cov(X, X) = Var(X);
- Symmetric: Cov(X, Y) = Cov(Y, X);
- Linearity with scale change, but invariant with location change

$$\operatorname{Cov}(aX + b, Y) = a\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y), \quad \operatorname{Cov}(X + Y, W) = \operatorname{Cov}(X, W) + \operatorname{Cov}(Y, W).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Cov}(aX + bY, cX + dY) &= ac\operatorname{Var}(X) + bd\operatorname{Var}(Y) \\ &+ (ad + bc)\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) \\ \operatorname{Var}(aX + bY) &= a^{2}\operatorname{Var}(X) + 2ab\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) + b^{2}\operatorname{Var}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

- Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

$$|\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)| \le \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)\operatorname{Var}(Y)}$$

• Correlation Coefficient

$$\rho_{XY} = \frac{\sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)\operatorname{Var}(Y)}}.$$

- $-1 \leq \rho_{XY} \leq 1$, due to the CS inequality.
- $-\rho_{XY} = \pm 1$ if and only if X and Y are linear functions of each other.
- $-\rho_{XY} = 0$ if and only if Cov(X, Y) = 0, then we say X and Y are <u>uncorrelated</u>.
- The magnitude of ρ_{XY} reflects only the linear dependence between X and Y. So it is possible that Y = g(X) where g is a one-to-one map (i.e., X totally determines Y), but ρ_{XY} is small.
- If X and Y are **independent**, then $\rho_{XY} = 0$, but the reverse doesn't hold.

• Mean and Variance of Sum of Random Variables. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be *n* random variables and a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n be (n + 1) constants. Define $U = a_0 + a_1 X_1 + \cdots + a_n X_n$.

$$\mathbb{E}U = \mathbb{E}(a_0 + a_1X_1 + \dots + a_nX_n) = a_0 + a_1\mathbb{E}X_1 + \dots + a_n\mathbb{E}X_n$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(U) = \operatorname{Var}\left(a_{0} + a_{1}X_{1} + \dots + a_{n}X_{n}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}\operatorname{Var}(X_{i}) + \sum_{i \neq j} a_{i}a_{j}\operatorname{Cov}(X_{i}, X_{j})$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}\operatorname{Var}(X_{i}) + 2\sum_{i < j} a_{i}a_{j}\operatorname{Cov}(X_{i}, X_{j})$$

If X_i 's are **uncorrelated**, i.e., $Cov(X_i, X_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, then variance of the sum is equal to the sum of variances.

• Conditional Expectations.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(X|Y=y) &=& \sum_{x} x \cdot P(X=x|Y=y) = \sum_{x} x \cdot p_{X|Y}(x|y).\\ \mathbb{E}(X|Y=y) &=& \int x \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|y) dx \end{split}$$

What does the symbol $\mathbb{E}(X|Y)$ mean? You can view it as a function of Y, i.e., $\mathbb{E}(X|Y) = g(Y)$ with its value at Y = y given by

$$g(y) = \mathbb{E}(X \mid Y = y).$$

Therefore $\mathbb{E}(X|Y)$ is a random variable. We can talk about its distribution and compute its mean and variance.

Useful properties of conditional expectations and variances

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(X \mid Y)] = \mathbb{E}X$$
 (Iterative rule)

$$\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}(\text{Var}(X|Y)) + \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}(X|Y))$$
 (Variance decomposition)

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{m imes 1} = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Z}] = \left(egin{array}{c} \mathbb{E}Z_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbb{E}Z_m \end{array}
ight).$$

• The covariance of $\mathbf{Z}_{m \times 1}$ is a symmetric *m*-by-*m* matrix with the (i, j)-th element equal to $\text{Cov}(Z_i, Z_j)$.

$$\Sigma_{m \times m} = \operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbb{E}\left[(\mathbf{Z} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{Z} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^t \right]$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Var}(Z_1) & \cdots & \operatorname{Cov}(Z_1, Z_m) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \operatorname{Cov}(Z_m, Z_1) & \cdots & \operatorname{Var}(Z_m) \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Affine transformations: $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{a}_{n \times 1} + \mathbf{B}_{n \times m} \mathbf{Z}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{W}] = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\mu}, \quad \operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{B}^t.$$

Especially, for $W = v_1 Z_1 + \cdots + v_m Z_m = \mathbf{v}^t \mathbf{Z}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[W] = \mathbf{v}^t \boldsymbol{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \mu_i,$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(W) = \mathbf{v}^t \Sigma \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i^2 \operatorname{Var}(Z_i) + 2 \sum_{i < j} v_i v_j \operatorname{Cov}(Z_i, Z_j).$$

The Univariate Normal Distribution

• $Z \sim \mathsf{N}(0,1)$ is called the standard normal rv. $\Phi(z)$ denotes its CDF.

$$\Phi(-z) = 1 - \Phi(z), \quad z > 0.$$

- $X \sim \mathsf{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then
- $X = \mu + \sigma Z N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. pdf, mgf, mean and variance.
- $aX + b \sim N(a\mu + b, a^2\sigma^2)$. Specially, $\frac{1}{\sigma}(X \mu) \sim N(0, 1)$.
- Linear combinations of independent normal rv's are normal.
- Z_i iid ~ N(0,1). What's the distribution of $W = Z_1^2 + \cdots + Z_n^2$?

$$M_W(t) = \mathbb{E}e^{tW} = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}e^{tZ_i^2} = (1-2t)^{-n/2}.$$

So $W \sim \mathsf{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = \chi_n^2$.

$$\mathbb{E}(W) = n, \qquad \text{Var}(W) = 2n.$$
$$f_W(w) = \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^{n/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} w^{\frac{n}{2} - 1} e^{-\frac{w}{2}}, \quad w > 0$$

• $Z \sim N(0,1)$ and $W \sim \chi_n^2$ are independent, then $\frac{Z}{\sqrt{W/n}} \sim T_n$ (student t-dist with n degrees of freedom).

How to derive the pdf of $T = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{W/n}}$? Consider the following bivariate transformation

$$T = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{W/n}}, \quad V = W$$

Then

$$f_T(t) = \int f(t, v) dv = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\sqrt{n\pi}\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{n}\right)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}.$$

The Bivariate Normal Distribution

 $(Y_1, Y_2) \sim \mathsf{N}_2(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, where

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

- μ_1 and σ_1^2 are the mean and variance, respectively, of Y_1 ;
- μ_2 and σ_2^2 are the mean and variance, respectively, of Y_2 ;
- $\sigma_{12} = \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2$ is the covariance of Y_1 and Y_2 with ρ being the correlation coefficient. Assume $\rho^2 < 1$, and the joint pdf $f(y_1, y_2)$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}} \times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^{2})}\left[\left(\frac{y_{1}-\mu_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}\right)^{2}-2\rho\left(\frac{y_{1}-\mu_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{y_{2}-\mu_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{y_{2}-\mu_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} \\
= \frac{1}{2\pi|\Sigma|^{1/2}}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{t}\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right\}.$$

Note that $|\Sigma| = \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 (1 - \rho^2)$ and when $\rho^2 < 1$,

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 (1-\rho^2)} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_2^2 & -\rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \\ -\rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 & \sigma_1^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Marginals: $Y_i \sim \mathsf{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$ where i = 1, 2.
- <u>Conditionals</u>:

$$Y_{1} | Y_{2} = y_{2} \sim \mathsf{N}\left(\mu_{1} + \rho \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{2}}(y_{2} - \mu_{2}), (1 - \rho^{2})\sigma_{1}^{2}\right)$$
$$Y_{2} | Y_{1} = y_{1} \sim \mathsf{N}\left(\mu_{2} + \rho \frac{\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{1}}(y_{1} - \mu_{1}), (1 - \rho^{2})\sigma_{2}^{2}\right)$$

• <u>Linear Combinations</u>:

$$aY_1 + bY_2 \sim \mathsf{N}(a\mu_1 + b\mu_2, a^2\sigma_1^2 + 2ab\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 + b^2\sigma_2^2)$$

- Uncorrelated = Independent: $\rho = 0$ implies Y_1 and Y_2 are independent.
- Note: All the statements above assume that the joint distribution of (Y_1, Y_2) is normal. However,

$$Y_1 \sim \text{Norm}, \quad Y_2 \sim \text{Norm} \quad \text{does NOT imply} \quad (Y_1, Y_2) \sim \text{Norm}.$$

So if Y_1 and Y_2 are marginally normally distributed with correlation 0, we cannot conclude that Y_1 and Y_2 are independent.

The Multivariate Normal Distribution

• Let $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$ where Z_i 's are iid $\sim \mathsf{N}(0, 1)$ rv's. Then \mathbf{Z} follows a multivariate normal distribution, denoted by $\mathsf{N}_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n)$, with

$$\begin{split} f(\mathbf{z}) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z_{i}^{2}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{2}\right\} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z}^{t} \mathbf{z}\right\},\\ M(\mathbf{t}) &= \mathbb{E}[\exp\{\mathbf{t}^{t} \mathbf{Z}\}] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} e^{t_{i} Z_{i}} = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{t}\|^{2}\right\}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{I}_n.$$

• We can define a general multivariate normal distribution via affine transformations.

$$\mathbf{X}_{p\times 1} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p\times 1} + B_{p\times n} \mathbf{Z}_{n\times 1},$$

then **X** is multivariate normal with mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = BB^t$, denoted by

$$\mathbf{X} \sim \mathsf{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}),$$

with

$$M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = \exp\Big\{\mathbf{t}^t \boldsymbol{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^t \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{t}\Big\}.$$

From the expression of its mgf above, we know that a multivariate normal distribution is completely determined by its mean μ and covariance matrix Σ .

Why don't we define \mathbf{X} via its pdf?

 Recall the definition of the covariance matrix for a random vector. Any covariance matrix Σ_{p×p} should be symmetric and *nonnegative definite*, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{a}^t \Sigma \mathbf{a} \ge 0$$
, for any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^p$.

The *B* matrix in $\Sigma = BB^t$ can be viewed as the square root of Σ , but there are many such square roots. So it is possible to obtain \mathbf{X}_1 and \mathbf{X}_2 from two different transformations, but they end up having the same distribution (see the example in John's notes).

Any symmetric nonnegative definite matrix has a spectral decomposition

$$\Sigma = \Gamma^t \Lambda \Gamma, \qquad \Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^p,$$

where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \cdots \geq \lambda_p \geq 0$, and $\Gamma_{n \times n}$ is a orthonormal matrix, i.e., $\Gamma \Gamma^t = \mathbf{I}_n$.

• If $\lambda_p > 0$, then Σ is positive definite, so $|\Sigma| > 0$ and $\Sigma^{-1} = \Gamma \Lambda^{-1} \Gamma^t$ exists. Then the pdf of $\mathsf{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ is given by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^t \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right\}.$$

- Properties of the multivariate normal
 - Affine transformations of multivariate normals are still normal:

$$\mathbf{X} \sim \mathsf{N}_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \Longrightarrow A_{m \times n} \mathbf{X} + b_{m \times 1} \sim \mathsf{N}_m(A\boldsymbol{\mu} + b, A\boldsymbol{\Sigma}A^t).$$

- Marginals of a normal are still normal.
- Conditionals of a normal are still normal.

$$\mathbf{X}_1 | \mathbf{X}_2 \sim \mathsf{N}_m \Big(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \Big)$$

- For multivariate normals, uncorrelated = independent.

Note: All the statements above assume that the joint distribution is normal. For example,

$$\mathbf{X}_1 \sim \mathsf{Norm}, \quad \mathbf{X}_2 \sim \mathsf{Norm} \quad \operatorname{does} \operatorname{NOT} \operatorname{imply} \quad (\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) \sim \mathsf{Norm}.$$

So if \mathbf{X}_1 and \mathbf{X}_2 are marginally normally distributed with correlation 0, we cannot conclude that \mathbf{X}_1 and \mathbf{X}_2 are independent.

Distributions Related to Normal

• If $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathsf{N}_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n)$, then $\|\mathbf{Z}\|^2 \sim \chi_n^2$.

If
$$\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathsf{N}_n(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)$$
, then $\|\mathbf{Z}\|^2 / \sigma^2 \sim \chi_n^2$.

If $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathsf{N}_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ and Σ^{-1} exists, then $(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^t \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim \chi_n^2$.

If $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathsf{N}_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{H})$ where \mathbf{H} is a projection matrix (i.e., \mathbf{H} is symmetric and idempotent), then $\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X} \sim \chi_m^2$ with $m = \text{trace}(\mathbf{H})$. Examples of \mathbf{H} :

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & 0\\0 & 1 & 0\\0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{rrrr}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right).$$

• $Z \sim N(0,1)$ and $W \sim \chi_n^2$ are independent, then

$$\frac{Z}{\sqrt{W}} \sim T_n \text{ (student t-dist).}$$

 $W_1 \sim \chi_n^2, W_2 \sim \chi_m^2$ and they are independent, then

$$\frac{W_1}{W_2} \sim F_{n,m}.$$

Chi-square and Student t-dist have one df (degree of freedom) and F-dist has two dfs.

• $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \mathsf{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then \bar{X} and $(X_1 - \bar{X}, \ldots, X_n - \bar{X})$ are independent,

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathsf{N}(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}), \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2,$$

therefore

$$T = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim T_{n-1},$$

where $S^2 = \sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2 / (n-1)$ is the sample variance.

Some Basic Concepts of Statistical Inference

Suppose we have a rv X that has a pdf/pmf denoted by $f(x;\theta)$ or $p(x;\theta)$, where θ is called the parameter, e.g., p in Bern(p) and (θ, σ^2) in N (θ, σ^2) .

Previously, we focus on problems where the value of θ is given, and we calculate various quantities related to the distribution, e.g., the mean, the variance, and various probabilities.

Now we focus on problems where θ is unknown and we try to estimate various (unknown) quantities related to this distribution, after observing a random sample (X_1, \ldots, X_n) from this distribution.

Some jargons:

- Parameter θ
- Random sample: (X_1, \ldots, X_n) iid.
- <u>Statistic</u> $T = T(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$: a function of the sample, which is also random.
- Estimator $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ of θ is a function of the sample, i.e., a statistic. Given an observed sample $(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n)$, the value of $\hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is called an <u>estimate</u> of θ . So, an estimator is a random variable, while an estimate is a real number.
- Hypothesis testing: decide between the null hypothesis $H_0: \theta \ge \theta_0$ and the alternative hypothesis $H_a: \theta < \theta_0$ where θ_0 denotes a fixed value for the parameter θ .
- <u>Prediction</u>

Descriptive Statistics

• Given a set of random samples, (x_1, \ldots, x_n) , its sample mean/variance are defined to be

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} x_i, \quad s_X^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i} (x_i - \bar{x})^2.$$

• Given n pairs of random samples, $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$, the sample covariance is defined to be

$$s_{XY} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}).$$

Assuming neither s_X^2 nor s_Y^2 is zero (i.e., neither x_i 's nor y_i 's are constant), then the sample correlation is defined to be

$$r = \frac{s_{XY}}{\sqrt{s_X^2 s_Y^2}}.$$

The Maximum Likelihood Estimator

• MLE: the estimator or estimators¹ that maximize the <u>Likelihood function</u>

$$L(\theta; \mathbf{x}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta).$$

• How to derive MLE? Write out the log likelihood function

$$\ell(\theta) = \log\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i; \theta)\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f(x_i; \theta).$$

Find the maximum of $\ell(\theta)$: $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} \ell(\theta)$

- Solve $\ell'(\theta) = 0$ (if no constraints);
- Otherwise, need to check whether the boundary points are the maximum.
- Invariance property of MLE. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a random sample with the pdf $f(x; \theta)$. Let $\eta = g(\theta)$ be a parameter of interest. Suppose $\hat{\theta}$ is the mle of θ . Then $g(\hat{\theta})$ is the mle of $g(\theta)$.

Bias, Variance, and MSE

- An estimator is called <u>unbiased</u> if $\mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$.
- For an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of θ , define the Mean Squared Error of $\hat{\theta}$ by

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta})]^2 + Var(\hat{\theta}) = Bias^2 + Var$$

Specially, if $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased, then $MSE(\hat{\theta}) = Var(\hat{\theta})$.

If θ is multi-dimensional, then MSE is defined as $\mathbb{E}\|\hat{\theta}-\theta\|^2 = \mathbb{E}|\hat{\theta}-\mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta})\|^2 + tr[Cov(\hat{\theta})]$, where the 2nd term is the trace of the covariance matrix of $\hat{\theta}$.

¹MLE may not be unique.

Hypothesis Testing

• Given data $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim P$, we want to test

(null) $H_0: P \in \mathcal{P}_0$, vs. (alternative) $H_A: P \in \mathcal{P}_A$,

or equivalently if all distributions have parameters,

 $H_0: \quad \theta \in \Theta_0, \quad vs. \quad H_A: \quad P \in \Theta_A.$

$H_0: \theta \ge \theta_0$	vs.	$H_a: \theta < \theta_0$	Left-tailed
$H_0: \theta \le \theta_0$	vs.	$H_a: \theta > \theta_0$	Right-tailed
$H_0: \theta = \theta_0$	vs.	$H_a: \theta \neq \theta_0$	Two-tailed (or two-sided)

Our decision is usually made based on a test statistic $\delta(\mathbf{X})$: if $\delta(\mathbf{X})$ is in some region (aka, the *Rejection Region*), reject H_0 , otherwise do not reject.

• Two types of errors

	H_0 True	H_0 False
Do NOT Reject H_0	Ü	Type II Error
Reject H_0	Type I Error	:)

• The power of a test δ is defined to be the probability of rejection when the true parameter is θ , namely,

 $\beta(\theta) = P_{\theta}(\delta(\mathbf{X}) \in \text{Rejection Region}).$

The significant level of a test (usually denoted by α) is defined to be $\max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta(\theta)$; for two-tailed test, the significant level is $\beta(\theta_0)$.

We usually fix the level of a test, say 5% test or 1% test, and then decide the Rejection Region, i.e., the Rejection Region depends on α .

• The *p*-value (observed level of significance) is the probability, computed assuming that H_0 is true, of obtaining a value of the test statistic as extreme as, or more extreme than, the observed value.

Use *p*-value to perform a level α test: If *p*-value $< \alpha$, reject; otherwise, do not reject H_0 .

• Connection between CIs and Hypothesis Tests. Suppose $(L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X}))$ is a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CI for θ . Consider

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0, \quad vs \quad H_A: \theta \neq \theta_0. \tag{1}$$

Define a test: reject H_0 if $\theta_0 \in (L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X}))$. Then this is a test with significant level α , since

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(\text{ Reject } H_0) = \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}((L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})) \text{ does not cover } \theta_0) = \alpha.$$

Similarly we can *invert* tests to obtain CIs. Let $\delta(\mathbf{X})$ to denote the test statistic and $\operatorname{RR}_{\theta_0}$ denotes the rejection region for level α test with null $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$. Given data \mathbf{X} , this set

$$B = \{\theta_0 : \delta(\mathbf{X}) \neq \mathrm{RR}_{\theta_0}\}$$
(2)

is a $100(1-\alpha)\%$ CI² for θ , since

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\theta \in B) = \mathbb{P}(\text{Not Reject } H_0 \mid H_0 \text{ is true}) = 1 - \alpha.$$

Here is the interpretation of this 95% CI (2): it contains θ values for which the null would not be rejected given data **X**.

In most tests we face in Stat425, we are testing $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ and $H_a: \theta \neq \theta_0$, and we usually have an unbiased estimator of θ , denoted by $\hat{\theta}$, which has standard error $sd(\hat{\theta})$. The test statistic takes the following form

$$\frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta_0}{sd(\hat{\theta})} \sim T_{\nu}$$
, under H_0 .

So for a level α test,

Reject
$$H_0$$
, if $\left| \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta_0}{sd(\hat{\theta})} \right| > t_{\nu}^{(\alpha/2)}$,

where $t_{\nu}^{(\alpha/2)}$ is the $(1 - \alpha/2)$ quantile of T_{ν} . The $(1 - \alpha)$ CI for θ is

 $\hat{\theta} \pm t_{\nu}^{(\alpha/2)} sd(\hat{\theta}).$

It is easy to check that, given $\hat{\theta}$ (i.e., given a data set), for any θ_0 in the $(1 - \alpha)$ CI, we cannot reject the hypothesis $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$.

 $^{^{2}}$ This set, if not an interval, is the credible region.