Three elements:

- 1. Where to split?
- 2. When to stop?
- 3. How to predict at each leaf node?

- A split is denoted by (j, s): split the data into two parts based on "var j < value s or not".
- For each split, define a Goodness of split criterion Φ(j, s), e.g., deduction of RSS for regression trees.

Start with the root. Try all possible variables j = 1 : p and all possible split values (for each variable j, sort the n values from the n samples, and choose s to be a middle point of two adjacent values, so at most (n - 1) possible values for s), and pick the best split, i.e., the split with the best  $\Phi$  value. Now, data are divided into the left node and right node. Repeat this procedure in each node.

# Goodness of Split $\Phi(j,s)$

For **Classification tree**, we check the gain of an **impurity measure** 

$$\Phi(j,s) = i(t) - \left[p_R \cdot i(t_R) + p_L \cdot i(t_L)\right]$$

where

$$i(t) = I(\hat{p}_t(1), \dots, \hat{p}_t(K))$$

 $\hat{p}_t(j) =$  frequency of class j at node t

 $I(\cdots)$  = an impurity measure.

Pick a split (j, s) that leads to a large reduction of impurity measure.

An **impurity measure** is a function  $I(p_1, ..., p_K)$  where  $p_j \ge 0$  and  $\sum_j p_j = 1$  with properties

- maximum occurs at (1/K,...,1/K) (the most impure node);
- 2. minimum occurs at  $p_j = 1$  (the purest node)
- 3.  $I(\cdots)$  is symmetric function of  $p_1, \ldots, p_K$ , i.e., permutation of  $p_j$  does not affect  $\phi$ .

Ideally we want the impurity measure to be small for each node.

### **Impurity Measures**

- Misclassification rate :  $1 \max_j p_j$
- Entropy (deviance):  $-\sum_{j=1}^{K} p_j \log p_j$
- Gini index :

$$\sum_{j=1}^{K} p_j (1 - p_j) = 1 - \sum_j p_j^2$$

Specially when K = 2, we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Misclassification} & : & \min(p,1-p) \\ & \text{Entropy} & : & p \log \frac{1}{p} + (1-p) \log \frac{1}{1-p} \\ & \text{Gini index} & : & 2p(1-p) \end{array}$$

The latter two are strictly **concave**, consequently the corresponding goodness-of-split measure  $\Phi$  is always positive (unless the class frequency of the left node and the one of the right node are exactly the same), therefore they are often used in growing trees.

Consider a binary classification problem. Evaluate the following split:

 $(20,5) \Longrightarrow (10,0) + (10,5).$ 

- A node with 20 samples from "class 1" and 5 samples from "class 0" is split into two,
- left node has 10 samples from "class 1" and 0 samples from "class 0" and
- right node has 10 samples from "class 1" and 5 samples from "class 0".

•  $\Phi(j,s)$  based on misclassification rate

$$\frac{5}{25} - \frac{10}{25} \cdot \frac{0}{10} - \frac{15}{25} \cdot \frac{5}{15} = 0$$

•  $\Phi(j,s)$  based on entropy

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{5}{25} \log \frac{25}{5} + \frac{20}{25} \log \frac{25}{20} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{10}{25} \cdot 0 \\ -\frac{15}{25} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5}{15} \log \frac{15}{5} + \frac{10}{15} \log \frac{15}{10} \end{bmatrix} > 0$$

This split is regarded as zero gain if using Misclassification, but positive gain if using **Entropy or Gini** (which favor splits that lead to **pure nodes**).

#### AdaBoost

What exactly does it do? The resulting classifier will always have a good prediction accuracy?

#### • Forward stage-wise optimization for fitting an additive model

AdaBoost is a special case of this framework with Exponential loss for classification. Similarly we can develop Boosting algorithms for regression/classification with other loss functions.

### AdaBoost

Consider a binary classification problem with  $y = \pm 1$ . classifier

 $g: x \longrightarrow \{-1, 1\}.$ 

Here g is a **weak classifier**, i.e., its performance is just slightly better than random guessing. In fact, it's okay that g is even worse than random guessing. Then you'll see that boosting automatically uses -g(x). **Aim** : use a combination of weak classifiers to improve the performance.

- Sequentially modify the weights on the training data {w<sub>i</sub>}<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub>;
- Sequentially pick classifiers  $g_t(x)$ ;<sup>*a*</sup>
- Output the weighted version

$$G(x) = \operatorname{sign} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t g_t(x) \right).$$

<sup>*a*</sup> The algorithm still works if  $g_t(x)$ 's are chosen randomly.

## **The Algorithm**

- 1. Initialize the weights  $w_i^{(1)} = 1/n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ .
- **2.** For t = 1 to T:
  - (a) Fit a classifier  $g_t(x)$ ;
  - (b) Compute the training error wrt weights  $w_i^{(t)}$ 's

$$\epsilon_t = \sum_i w_i^{(t)} I\left(y_i \neq g_t(x_i)\right)$$

- (c) Compute  $\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1-\epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$ . Note  $\alpha_t < 0$  if  $\epsilon_t > 1/2$ .
- (d) Update weights

$$w_i^{(t+1)} = w_i^{(t)} \frac{\exp[-\alpha_t y_i g_t(x_i)]}{Z_t},$$

where  $Z_t$  is the normalizing constant to ensure that  $\sum_i w_i^{(t+1)} = 1$ .

3. Output  $G_T(x) = \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t g_t(x)\right)$ .

### Proof

Show that the Training Error (measured by mis-classification rate) will go to 0 (not necessarily monotonically) when  $T \to \infty$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Training-Err}(G_T) &= \sum_i \frac{1}{n} I\Big(y_i \neq \operatorname{sign}\big(\sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t g_t(x_i)\big)\Big) \\ &= \sum_i \frac{1}{n} I\Big(\sum_{t=1}^T y_i \alpha_t g_t(x_i) < 0\Big) \\ &\leq \sum_i \frac{1}{n} \exp\Big(-\sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t y_i g_t(x_i)\Big) \quad I(z < 0) < e^{-z}, z \in \mathbb{R} \\ &\leq \prod_{t=1}^T Z_t \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \exp\left(-\sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_{t} y_{i} g_{t}(x_{i})\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i} \frac{1}{n} \prod_{t=1}^{T} \exp\left(-\alpha_{t} y_{i} g_{t}(x_{i})\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i} w_{i}^{(1)} \prod_{t=1}^{T} \frac{w_{i}^{(t+1)}}{w_{i}^{(t)}} Z_{t}$$

$$= \sum_{i} w_{i}^{(1)} \frac{w_{i}^{(2)}}{w_{i}^{(1)}} \cdots \frac{w_{i}^{(T)}}{w_{i}^{(T-1)}} \frac{w_{i}^{(T+1)}}{w_{i}^{(T)}} \left(\prod_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t}\right)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t}\right) \sum_{i} w_{i}^{(T+1)}$$

$$= \prod_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t},$$

which decreases with T if  $\epsilon_t < 1/2$ , since

$$Z_t = \sum_{i} w_i^{(t)} \exp\left(-\alpha_t y_i g_t(x_i)\right)$$
  
$$= \sum_{i:y_i g_t(x_i)=1} w_i^{(t)} \exp\left(-\alpha_t\right) +$$
  
$$\sum_{i:y_i g_t(x_i)=-1} w_i^{(t)} \exp\left(\alpha_t\right)$$
  
$$= (1 - \epsilon_t) \exp\left(-\alpha_t\right) + \epsilon_t \exp\left(\alpha_t\right)$$
  
$$= (1 - \epsilon_t) \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_t}{1 - \epsilon_t}} + \epsilon_t \sqrt{\frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}}$$
  
$$= 2\sqrt{\epsilon_t(1 - \epsilon_t)}$$
  
$$< 1$$

• We can use a classifier  $g_t(x)$  whose error rate  $\epsilon_t > 1/2$  (i.e., worse than random-guessing).

Then  $\alpha_t < 0$ , and Adaboost basically uses  $-g_t(x)$ .

- AdaBoost combines weak classifiers to reduce the 0/1 training error (or more specifically, reduce an upper bound of the training error). The training error of the combined classifier G<sub>T</sub> (from Adaboost) is **not** monotonically decreasing with T. After each iteration, Adaboost decreases a particular upper-bound of the 0/1 training error. So in a long run, the training error will be pushed to zero.
- The classifier returned by AdaBoost is not guaranteed to have a good performance on the test set. In fact AdaBoost is prone to overfitting, unless it stops early.

## A Toy Example

Consider a toy example: three observations with two from class -1 and one from class 1.

Suppose at iteration 1, we pick a classifier  $h_1(x)$  that predicts all three obs to be from class 1. How we update their weights?

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1 &= 2/3\\ \alpha_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1-\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_1} = -\frac{\log 2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\exp(-\alpha_1 y_i h_1(x_i))$  equals  $1/\sqrt{2}$  or  $\sqrt{2}$ .

## **Boosting: Forward Stagewise Additive Modeling**

Consider an Additive Model:

$$f(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t g_t(x),$$

where  $g_t(x)$  is a classifier or a regression function.

#### **Forward Stagewise Optimization**

(1) 
$$f_0(x) = 0$$

(2) For t = 1 to T,

 $\bullet$  Given  $f_{t-1},$  choose  $(\alpha_t,g_t)$  to minimize

$$\sum_{i} L\Big(y_i, f_{t-1}(x_i)\Big) + \alpha g_t(x_i); \qquad (1)$$

• Update 
$$f_t(x) = f_{t-1}(x) + \alpha_t g_t(x_i)$$
.

Boosting algorithms can take various forms, depending on the choice of the base model  $g_t(x)$ , the choice of the loss function L(y, f(x)), and how optimization is done at (1).

AdaBoost is equivalent to forward stagewise additive modeling using an exponential loss

$$L(y, f(x)) = \exp(-yf(x)).$$

$$\arg\min_{\alpha,g} \sum_{i} L(y_i, f_{t-1}(x_i) + \alpha g(x_i))$$

$$= \arg\min_{\alpha,g} \sum_{i} \exp[-y_i f_{t-1}(x_i) - y_i \alpha g(x_i)]$$

$$= \arg\min_{\alpha,g} \sum_{i} w_i^{(t)} \exp(-\alpha y_i g(x_i)).$$

- Instead of optimizing over both α and g,
   AdaBoost just randomly picks a classifier g<sub>t</sub>(x), and then optimize over α.
- For any given g<sub>t</sub>(x), denote the corresponding weighted empirical error rate by ε<sub>t</sub>, then the optimal α<sub>t</sub> is given by

$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1-\epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$$

)]

For regression, we can use  $L_2$ -Boosting.

• Loss function is the squared error,

$$(y_i - f_{t-1}(x_i) - \alpha g(x_i))^2$$
  
=  $(r_{it} - \alpha g(x_i))^2$ .

• At the *t*-th iteration,

 $f_t(x) = f_{t-1}(x) + \hat{\beta}_t x^{(t)},$ 

where  $x^{(t)}$  denotes the variable (possibly random) chosen at the *t*-th iteration, and  $\hat{\beta}_t$  is the estimated coefficient based on the partial residuals  $r_{it}$ .

When doing the optimization at the *t*-th iteration,

- for exponential loss, the effect of the previous (t 1) functions becomes weights;
- for squared loss, the effect of the previous (t-1) functions becomes partial residuals.

For many other loss functions, we don't have such a simple form for the effect of the previous (t - 1) functions, then we can approximate  $L(y_i, f_{t-1}(x_i) + g(x_i))$  by Taylor expansions (Gradient Boosting).